Arizona Supreme Court

Criminal Petition for Review - Appeal

CR-24-0059-PR

STATE OF ARIZONA v DANTREIL AMON GRIFFIN

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 5-Mar-2024

Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

State of Arizona

Attorneys for: Appellee

Alice Jones, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 28062) Joshua C Smith, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 30229)

Side 2. DANTREIL AMON GRIFFIN, Appellant

(Litigant Group) DANTREIL AMON GRIFFIN

Dantreil Amon Griffin

Attorneys for: Appellant

Jennifer Roach, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 24525)

CASE STATUS

Mar 5, 2024......Awaiting Petition for Review

Mar 5, 2024.....Pending

PREDEC	ESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <comments></comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA	1 CA-CR 22-0380					
₩ MAR	CR2019-105162-001	See File	See File	Ronee Korbin Steiner, Sentencing Comments: (none)	JURY	
♥ MAR	SW2019-001357			Comments: See Order filed 01/30/2023 supplementing record on appeal with documents filed SW2019-001357		
₩ MAR	SW2022-102487			Comments: See Order filed 01/30/2023 supplementing record on appeal with documents filed SW2022-102487		
1 CA	1 CA-CR 23-0083					
₩ MAR	CR2019-105162-001			Ronee Korbin Steiner, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		

8 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

 5-Mar-2024 FILED: Unopposed Motion for Procedural Order: Request for Leave to Set the Petition for Review Deadline Based on Arizona Criminal Procedure Rule 31.21(b)(2)(A) Regarding a Motion for Reconsideration; Certificate of Service; Appendix 1 (Appellant Griffin)

1. 6-Mar-2024 In case number 1 CA-CR 22-0380, (consolidated in the Court of Appeals with 1 CA-CR 23-0083), the Court of Appeals its Memorandum Decision on February 13, 2024. On February 22, 2024, Petitioner filed a Motion for Clarification in the Court of Appeals

Petitioner has filed an "Unopposed Motion for Procedural Order" in this court asking this Court for leave to file a petition for review under the timeline applicable when a motion for reconsideration has been filed, which is fifteen days after final disposition of a timely motion for reconsideration. Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.21(b)(2)(A). Good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED granting the motion. The Motion for Clarification shall be treated as a motion for reconsideration for purpose of determining the deadline to file a petition for review under Rule 31.21(b)(2)(A). (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)

3. 21-Mar-2024 FILED: Unopposed Motion for Procedural Order: Request to Extend the Time to File a Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Appellant Griffin)

Arizona Supreme Court

Criminal Petition for Review - Appeal

CR-24-0059-PR

STATE OF ARIZONA v DANTREIL AMON GRIFFIN

8 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 4. 21-Mar-2024 "Unopposed Motion for Procedural Order: Request to Extend the Time to File a Petition for Review" (Appellant Griffin) having been filed on March 21, 2024,
 - IT IS ORDERED granting an extension of time to file the petition for review on or before May 1, 2024. No further extensions of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. This matter is subject to dismissal if the petition for review is not filed by May 1, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)
- 5. 1-May-2024 FILED: Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance; Memorandum Decision (Appellant Griffin)
- 6. 1-May-2024 FILED: Motion for Procedural Order: Request to Extend the Time to File a Pro Per Petition for Review as to the Restitution Case Only; Certificate of Service (Appellant Griffin)
- 7. 2-May-2024 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record
- 8. 2-May-2024 On May 1, 2024, Appellant Griffin filed a Motion for Procedural Order: Request to Extend the Time to File a Pro Per Petition for Review as to the Restitution Case Only requesting that the Court grant "a forty-five-day extension of time [] to file a pro per Petition for Review in the restitution matter only, 1 CA-CR 23-0083, up to and including June 15, 2024."

Appellant's motion indicates that the Appellee State of Arizona does not object to the request. Upon consideration.

IT IS ORDERED that Appellant's Motion for Procedural Order: Request to Extend the Time to File a Pro Per Petition for Review as to the Restitution Case Only is granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Appellant may file a pro per petition for review as to 1 CA-CR 23-0083 on or before June 14, 2024. No further extensions of time will be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. Appellant's option to seek review from 1 CA-CR 23-0083 is subject to dismissal if Appellant's pro per petition for review is not filed by June 14, 2024.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon Appellant filing a pro per petition for review from 1 CA-CR 23-0083 the Clerk will open a new matter to file Appellant's pro per petition for review and file this order and Appellant's Motion for Procedural Order: Request to Extend the Time to File a Pro Per Petition for Review as to the Restitution Case Only in the new matter. (Hon. John R Lopez IV)